

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress District Data Table

Final 2010 AYP Results

District Name: JACKSONVILLE (037904)
Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2010-11 School Improvement Program Requirement: Stage 1 Math Read

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure: Current & Monitored)	LEP (Students)
Performance: Reading/English Language Arts (ELA) (AYP Target: 73%)								
AYP Proficiency Rate								
2009-10 Assessments								
Met Standard	2,086	391	865	813	1,554	148	512	n/a
Number Tested	2,355	451	1,023	864	1,804	264	652	456
% Met Standard	89%	87%	85%	94%	86%	56%	79%	n/a
Student Group %	100%	19%	43%	37%	77%	11%	n/a	19%
Performance Improvement/Safe Harbor								
2008-09 Assessments								
Met Standard	1,994	365	802	813	1,452	126	497	n/a
Number Tested	2,285	439	967	865	1,720	245	636	438
% Met Standard	87%	83%	83%	94%	84%	51%	78%	n/a
Change in % Met Standard	2	4	2	0	2	5	1	
Improvement Required						5		
2009-10 AYP Proficiency Rate including Growth								
Met Standard or Growth	2,214	418	947	832	1,675	169	583	
Number Tested	2,355	451	1,023	864	1,804	264	652	
% Met Standard or Growth	94%	93%	93%	96%	93%	64%	89%	

n/a indicates that the data are not available or applicable
A dash (-) indicates there were no students in that group

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress District Data Table

Final 2010 AYP Results

District Name: JACKSONVILLE (037904)
Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2010-11 School Improvement Program Requirement: Stage 1 Math Read

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure: Current & Monitored)	LEP (Students)
Performance: Mathematics (AYP Target: 67%)								
AYP Proficiency Rate								
2009-10 Assessments								
Met Standard	2,015	347	880	771	1,497	134	560	n/a
Number Tested	2,354	450	1,024	863	1,804	265	652	456
% Met Standard	86%	77%	86%	89%	83%	51%	86%	n/a
Student Group %	100%	19%	44%	37%	77%	11%	n/a	19%

Performance Improvement/Safe Harbor

2008-09 Assessments								
Met Standard	1,897	322	802	760	1,381	108	517	n/a
Number Tested	2,285	439	968	864	1,721	246	639	441
% Met Standard	83%	73%	83%	88%	80%	44%	81%	n/a

Change in % Met Standard	3	4	3	1	3	7	5	
Improvement Required						6		

2009-10 AYP Proficiency Rate including Growth

Met Standard or Growth	2,135	385	928	805	1,606	146	578	
Number Tested	2,354	450	1,024	863	1,804	265	652	
% Met Standard or Growth	91%	86%	91%	93%	89%	55%	89%	

n/a indicates that the data are not available or applicable
A dash (-) indicates there were no students in that group

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress District Data Table

Final 2010 AYP Results

District Name: JACKSONVILLE (037904)
Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2010-11 School Improvement Program Requirement: Stage 1 Math Read

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure)	LEP (Students)
Participation: Reading/ELA (AYP Target: 95%)								
2009-10 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	*	1,072	*	*	283		486
Total Students	*	*	1,078	*	*	286		491
Participation Rate	>99%	>99%	99%	>99%	>99%	99%		99%
Student Group %	*	*	43%	*	*	11%		20%
2008-09 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	*	1,019	*	1,835	*		471
Total Students	*	*	1,027	*	1,845	*		479
Participation Rate	>99%	>99%	99%	>99%	99%	99%		98%
Participation: Mathematics (AYP Target: 95%)								
2009-10 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Total Students	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
Participation Rate	>99%	>99%	>99%	>99%	>99%	>99%		>99%
Student Group %	*	*	*	*	*	*		*
2008-09 Assessments								
Number Participating	*	469	*	*	*	*		*
Total Students	*	473	*	*	*	*		*
Participation Rate	>99%	99%	>99%	>99%	>99%	>99%		>99%

n/a indicates that the data are not available or applicable
A dash (-) indicates there were no students in that group

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress District Data Table

Final 2010 AYP Results

District Name: JACKSONVILLE (037904)
Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2010-11 School Improvement Program Requirement: Stage 1 Math Read

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure: Ever HS)	LEP (Students)
--	-----------------	---------------------	----------	-------	------------------	----------------------	------------------------------	-------------------

Four-Year Longitudinal Cohort

Graduation Rate Class of 2009 (AYP Target: 75%)

Graduates	210	50	64	94	97	28	9	5
Number in Class	250	62	78	108	117	33	18	11
Graduation Rate	84.0%	80.6%	82.1%	87.0%	82.9%	84.8%	50.0%	45.5%
Student Group %	100%	25%	31%	43%	47%	13%	n/a	4%

Graduation Rate Class of 2008 (Safe Harbor or Improvement of 1.0)

Graduates	210	41	50	117	100	41	9	6
Number in Class	284	60	82	140	151	53	24	19
Graduation Rate	73.9%	68.3%	61.0%	83.6%	66.2%	77.4%	37.5%	31.6%

Change 2008 to 2009	10.1	12.3	21.1	3.4	16.7	7.4	12.5	
Safe Harbor Target	1.6	2.2	2.9	0.6	2.4	1.3	5.3	

Five-Year Longitudinal Cohort (AYP Target: 80%)

Class of 2008 Five-Year Graduation Rate

Graduates	217	43	52	120	106	43	10	7
Number in Class	280	58	81	139	146	52	23	17
Graduation Rate	77.5%	74.1%	64.2%	86.3%	72.6%	82.7%	43.5%	41.2%

Decreases in graduation rates may be due to significant changes in the dropout definition beginning with the 2005-06 school year.
The 2010 AYP graduation rate safe harbor targets are provided for all student groups regardless of their use for safe harbor calculations.

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress District Data Table

Final 2010 AYP Results

District Name: JACKSONVILLE (037904)
Status: Academically Acceptable, Meets AYP

2010-11 School Improvement Program Requirement: Stage 1 Math Read

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP
Performance: Reading/ELA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Performance: Math	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Participation: Reading/ELA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Participation: Math	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Other: Graduation Rate	+						
Other: Attendance Rate	-						

- + Meets AYP
- Not Evaluated for AYP due to not meeting minimum size criteria, alternative not used, or the measure is not applicable
- % Missed AYP for this performance measure due to the 2% and/or the 1% federal caps
- X Missed AYP for this measure